

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/522,696		WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Raymond Covington		1625	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond Covington USPTO.

(2) Rayn Cagle (app rep).

Date of Interview: 5 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

possible 112 1st

Claims discussed:

1-13,62-69,101-109 and 113-118

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to change the term "analog" in claim 1 to -derivative-. Claims 1-13,62-69,101-109 and 113-118 are allowed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Raymond Covington/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)